



McKool Smith
300 Crescent Court
Suite 1500
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel: 214.978.4940
Fax: 214.978.4044

EDUCATION

- J.D., magna cum laude, Texas Tech School of Law, 1998.
- B.S., cum laude, Computer Science, Texas Christian University, 1995.
- B.S., cum laude, Criminal Justice, Texas Christian University, 1995.

COURT ADMISSIONS

- State of Texas
- The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit
- The U.S. District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, and Western Districts of Texas
- The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

BAR ADMISSIONS

- State Bar of Texas
- American Bar Association
- Fifth Circuit Bar Association
- Dallas Bar Association

CHRISTOPHER BOVENKAMP

ASSOCIATE

Chris Bovenkamp is an Associate in the Dallas office of McKool Smith whose practice focuses on intellectual property litigation with a particular emphasis on patent litigation. Mr. Bovenkamp has been involved in the courtroom and during litigation with successful cases involving technologies such as integrated circuits, telecommunications systems used in prisons and jails, point-of-sale activation of prepaid cards, metatags, and call center scheduling software. Mr. Bovenkamp also has experience with trademark, trade dress, trade secret, and copyright litigation.

REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

Ericsson. Mr. Bovenkamp participated in securing a favorable jury verdict in *Ericsson v. Harris*, a patent case involving telecommunications integrated circuits and semiconductor fabrication patents. The Federal Circuit affirmed a jury verdict awarding lost profits and a permanent injunction. Mr. Bovenkamp has continued to represent Ericsson in other patent-related matters.

SmartDisk. Mr. Bovenkamp represented the patent holder in *SmartDisk Corporation v. Archos*. SmartDisk asserted its patents relating to portable media players against a competitor headquartered in France. Shortly before trial, Archos entered into a license agreement with SmartDisk.

Omni Financial. Mr. Bovenkamp successfully defended a lending company at trial against allegations of trade secret and trade dress misappropriation in *Pioneer v. Omni Financial*. Part of this case involved the manner in which competitors could make use of business names in the metatags of a website on the Internet. A take-nothing judgment was obtained after a one-week trial.

IEX. Mr. Bovenkamp represented the patent holder in *IEX v. Blue Pumpkin*—an action to enforce patents protecting the software IEX used to schedule agents in telephone call centers. Mr. Bovenkamp's representation included advocacy at the *Markman* hearing and the successful reversal of a summary judgment entered by the District Court.

T-NETIX. Mr. Bovenkamp represented the plaintiff in *T-NETIX v. MCI WorldCom*. T-NETIX owned a portfolio of patents related to features and functionality of telephone systems primarily used in prisons. T-NETIX' technology protected judicial personnel from harassing telephone calls and provided law enforcement with significant security tools. Mr. Bovenkamp's representation of T-NETIX included the successful licensing and enforcement of its technology.