IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

TIAA-CREF INDIVIDUAL & INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES, LLC; et al,)))
Plaintiffs, v.) C.A. No. N14C-05-178 JRJ [CCLD]
ILLINOIS NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY; et. al.)) TRIAL BY JURY OF) TWELVE DEMANDED)
Defendants.))

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Notice to Zurich for the Bauer-Ramazani Action

1.	. Do you find that TIAA-CREF proved by a preponderance of the evidence that it provided notice to Zurich of the <i>Bauer-Ramazani</i> Action as soon as practicable after TIAA-CREF reasonably believed that the <i>Bauer-Ramazani</i> Action was likely to result in loss or damages that would exceed twenty-five percent (25%) of the sum of the "Underlying Limits" and any retention specified in the "Primary Policy".				
	Yes No/				
2.	Do you find that TIAA-CREF proved by clear and convincing evidence that Zurich waived its notice defense?				
	Yes No				

Zurich's Consent for the Settlement of the Bauer-Ramazani Action

1.	Do you fi	nd that	TIAA-CREF proved by clear and convincing evidence
	that Zuric	h waiv	red the consent condition with respect to the Bauer-
	Ramazani	i settlei	ment?
	Yes	_ No _	V

Arch's Consent for the Settlement of the Underlying Actions

The Rink Action

1.	Do you find that TIAA-CREF proved by clear and convincing evidence that Arch waived the consent condition with respect to the <i>Rink</i> settlement?
	Yes No
2.	Do you find that TIAA-CREF proved by a preponderance of the evidence that, at the time of the <i>Rink</i> settlement, it reasonably appeared to be futile for TIAA-CREF to request Arch to consent to the <i>Rink</i> settlement? Yes No
	The Bauer-Ramazani Action
3.	Do you find that TIAA-CREF proved by clear and convincing evidence that Arch waived the consent condition with respect to the <i>Bauer-Ramazani</i> settlement?
	Yes No
4.	Do you find that TIAA-CREF proved by a preponderance of the evidence that, at the time of the <i>Bauer-Ramazani</i> settlement, it reasonably appeared to be futile for TIAA-CREF to request Arch to consent to the <i>Bauer-Ramazani</i> settlement?
	Yes V No

Reasonableness of Defense Costs Incurred In The Rink Action

1. Of the \$1,790,796.77 in Defense Costs incurred by TIAA-CREF in connection with the defense of the *Rink* Action, what amount did TIAA-CREF prove by a preponderance of the evidence was reasonable and necessary, and therefore recoverable by TIAA-CREF?

\$ 1,790,796,77

Reasonableness of Defense Costs Incurred In The Bauer-Ramazani Action

1. Of the \$7,519,822.91 in Defense Costs incurred by TIAA-CREF in connection with the defense of the *Bauer-Ramazani* Action, what amount did TIAA-CREF prove by a preponderance of the evidence was reasonable and necessary, and therefore recoverable by TIAA-CREF?

\$7,519,822.91

